

20031213.ba v03_n580.bam.20031213

>From ???@??? Sat Dec 13 14:34:32 2003 -0600
Message-Id: <200312132034.hBDKYGTTr001783@sco.theporch.com>
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 14:33:53 CST
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3580

BOATANCHORS Digest 3580

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Odd GF xmtr coil set mystery??
by David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
- 2) G-133F manual available
by Tom Norris <r390a@bellsouth.net>
- 3) RK-65 RF Amp Questions
by "Merz Donald S" <merz.ds@mellon.com>
- 4) Re: [GreenKeys] RK-65 RF Amp Questions
by Roy Morgan <roy.morgan@nist.gov>
- 5) 1964-66 callbook pages
by "Nick England" <nick@3rdtech.com>
- 6) FS: Millen 41305 Ceramic Jack Bars
by WA5CAB@cs.com
- 7) Wanted: Millen 90651 TM
by WA5CAB@cs.com
- 8) Re: Wanted: Millen 90651 TM
by WA5CAB@cs.com
- 9) Hartley Fun
by "JAMES T HANLON" <knjhanlon@msn.com>
- 10) Re: [Milsurplus] Re: Wanted: Millen 90651 TM
by John Kolb <jlkolb@cts.com>
- 11) Re: Hartley Fun
by stuck in 50s <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
- 12) Fw: Substation fireworks
by "Tom Smith" <tsmith@hal-pc.org>
- 13) Tr: Substation fireworks
by =?iso-8859-1?Q?Andr=E9_Guibert?= <aguibert@sympatico.ca>
- 14) Need SB-220 Outer Case
by "Gary H. Harmon, Jr." <gharmon@idworld.net>
- 15) Stancor help
by "Robert Nickels" <w9ran@oneradio.net>
- 16) Re: Stancor help
by Buzz <buzz@softcom.net>
- 17) Re: Stancor help
by Bob Roehrig <broehrig@aurora.edu>

Message-ID: <3FD7B7A9.81834735@ix.netcom.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:17:45 -0600
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Odd GF xmtr coil set mystery??
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Forwarded from another list, for general interest.
D.S.

"Thekan, Paul" wrote:

>
> I came across what looks to be a xmtr coil set for the GF series of xmtr.
> What I found odd was the freq. range which is 1000 - 1250 Kc . I was not
> aware that the GF xmtr operated down that far. Also directly underneath
> where the freq. range is etched on the nomenclature plate is also etched
> Serial X Also where the Type and Serial number info would be stamped or
> written in - it is blank except for CBY- The coils set was made by A.R.C.
> as a No. 2929 coil set. The contract number is N156S-19737 and dated 7-1-41

This is a "preliminary" reply, since I don't have all the data together to hard-n-fast confirm what follows, but it looks pretty good. Indeed, with the destruction of Navy records under the last administration, such confirmation may never exist. We'll have to make do with what we can find. One of our members once posted that he could hear Navy aircraft and ships on the broadcast band down on the gulf coast. He was right.

None of the GF transmitters were issued with this MF coil, or the one Mike Hanz so kindly sent me, as a standard item. By 1941, the Navy was already into production of the the last of the GFs, the GF-12, and it was issued with normal HF coils.

The nomen plates state they are "FOR MODEL GF SERIES EQUIPMENT," so these coils, probably plus one for 1500-2000 KC and one or more for under 1000 KC were meant to service any GF transmitter beyond GF-2. Not coincidentally, the planning for production of Longwave transmitters for ATA and AN/ARC-5 would have begun about this time, since there are T-15s and T-16s with 1943 inspection dates. While there were plans for similar longwave ATA sets per Gordon White, none are known to exist. In researching these coils, we may at last have a good answer for why they and the T-15, T-16 and T-17 AN/ARC-5 transmitters

were produced.

As most of you remember, I have two veteran accounts of the MF ARC-5 transmitters being used as "surrender now" broadcast transmitters and for DF spoofing.

A veteran witness should never be discounted and, given the vast amount of "on the fly" innovation and non-standard, expedient installations we have documented, I have no doubt whatever that such applications took place. But building an entire run of equipment with these uses first in mind doesn't seem reasonable. There must have been a larger need for such an expensive procurement, and there was such a need.

In the 1920s and 30s, most naval communication was conducted on LF and MF, up to about 2000 KC. This was where the famous "200 meters and down" allocation for hams originated.

In 1926, many allied nations met in Washington for a joint Naval Radio Conference. One of the products of this meeting was the U.S. Naval Communications Frequency Plan.

The naval and coast forces of many allied countries, especially in the western hemisphere, adopted this plan in whole or in part. Frequency ranges allocated for naval aircraft were 555 KC, 605-995 KC, 1000 to 1295 KC and 3005 to 3985 KC.

More importantly, fleet tactical and strategic frequencies- those which ships used to communicate with each other, with shore *and to liaison with units of other nations*- were almost entirely below 2000 KC.

Many nations adopted this plan as it provided for joint exercises without serious communications problems between allied units.

As WWII approached, the U.S. and British navies began using much higher frequencies on a routine basis, *but this was not general throughout the world.* The naval and coastal forces of most smaller, less rich countries retained the old LF and MF equipment.

Thus, if there were to be joint exercises between U.S. forces and those of Brazil, or if a U.S. PB4Y was to coordinate an attack on a U-Boat with a Mexican destroyer, or cooperation on rescuing a downed flyer between U.S. aircraft and a coastal vessel from Iran, a tactical-level voice transmitter capable of MF/LF operation would have been indispensable.

Therefore, I propose (and hope to acquire additional data to confirm) that the problem of U.S. aircraft communicating and coordinating with the naval assets of less developed allied nations was the root cause for the production of these GF coils, the plans for LF ATAs and the later production of LF/MF AN/ARC-5 transmitters.

73 OM DE Dave Stinson AB5S

Mime-Version: 1.0
Message-Id: <p06010259bbfdb7250517@[10.0.1.2]>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 23:58:52 -0600
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Tom Norris <r390a@bellsouth.net>
Subject: G-133F manual available
Cc: milsurplus@mailman.qth.net, r-390@mailman.qth.net
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" ; format="flowed"

As received from Fair Radio quite a few years ago.
Meant to supplement the 51S1 manual.
\$10 shipped anywhere.

First email with a mailing address gets it.

Tom KA4RKT

Message-ID: <20031211152646.27778.qmail@mellon.com>
content-class: urn:content-classes:message
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Subject: RK-65 RF Amp Questions
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 10:26:40 -0500
From: "Merz Donald S" <merz.ds@mellon.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

I have this RF amp here that is making its way up the to-do list. It =
uses push-pull RK-65 tubes and a large B&W "CX" type tank assembly. The =
CX tank mounts a B&W "HD" jackbar for plug-in final coils with a =
swinging link to pick up the output. It also has a set of clips for =
adding a fixed vacuum capacitor and bolt-on plates that are used for =
neutralization on each side. It's a pretty impressive piece of =
equipment, circa 1950.

This is my first encounter with a piece of gear using RK-anything tubes. =

Has anyone seen any published circuits using RK-65s? Does that tube have = any equivalent types by other manufacturers? Has anyone seen a good = summary anywhere of the Raytheon line of RK-series tubes? I can't see = any rhyme or reason in their numbering system. And finally, does anyone = have any RK-65's for sale or trade? I'd be interested in picking up a = couple for spares.

Any help appreciated.
73, Don Merz, N3RHT

Message-Id: <5.0.0.25.2.20031211122001.0592a490@mailserver.nist.gov>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:46:37 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Roy Morgan <roy.morgan@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: [GreenKeys] RK-65 RF Amp Questions
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 10:26 AM 12/11/03 -0500, Merz Donald S wrote:
>I have this RF amp here that is making its way up the to-do list. It uses
>push-pull RK-65 tubes and a large B&W "CX" type tank assembly. ...
>
>This is my first encounter with a piece of gear using RK-anything tubes.
>Has anyone seen any published circuits using RK-65s?

I did find specs for the tube at:
<http://www.mgte.com/rk-65-schm.pdf>
and he has a nice picture at:
<http://www.mgte.com/jpg/rk-65c.jpg>

It appears that at 3,000 volts on the plate, a pair of them will deliver an output of one kilowatt in CW service.

For published circuits, see any ARRL handbook prior to about 1950, and especially the very early west coast handbooks for transmitters using the 250 TL or TH.

>Does that tube have any equivalent types by other manufacturers?

As I understand it, the RK-65 is similar to the 250 TH used in the Hallicrafters HT-4 and BC-610. The fellows on the T-368/BC-610 list would have more information on that.

> Has anyone seen a good summary anywhere of the Raytheon line of
> RK-series tubes?

The 1944 ARRL Handbook lists a number of Raytheon transmitting tubes in the context of other similar types.

> I can't see any rhyme or reason in their numbering system.

They may have simply started with a low number and just used the next one for a new tube type.

> And finally, does anyone have any RK-65's for sale or trade? I'd be
> interested in picking up a couple for spares.

The owner of the website below seems to have three for \$55 each. I say buy them all.

http://www.mgte.com/h_tesla.htm

I found info below with a google search for "Raytheon RK-65" There seemed to be some confusion comparing the 833 and the RK-65. I have an example of each and I assure you they are not at all the same tube and the 833 is a far bigger tube. The owner of the website replied to someone on the TESLA list that the RK-65 has "twice the capacity of the 833. This is just plain wrong.

from: <http://www.pupman.com/listarchives/2001/November/msg00702.html>

>[Date Prev][Date Next][Thread Prev][Thread Next][Date Index][Thread Index]

>

>RE: 833's

>

>

>

> To: tesla-at-pupman-dot-com

> Subject: RE: 833's

> From: "Tesla list" <tesla-at-pupman-dot-com>

> Date: Wed, 21 Nov 2001 18:46:43 -0700

> Resent-Date: Wed, 21 Nov 2001 19:11:33 -0700

> Resent-From: tesla-at-pupman-dot-com

> Resent-Message-ID: <1W6y7B.A.VHB.U7F_7-at-poodle>

> Resent-Sender: tesla-request-at-pupman-dot-com

>

>

>

>Original poster: "John H. Couture by way of Terry Fritz

><twfTesla-at-qwest-dot-net>" <couturejh-at-mgte-dot-com>

>

>

>Dave -

>

>You are correct. The specs for the RK-65 are shown at

> <http://www.mgte-dot-com/rk-65-schm.pdf>

>
>These specs show the output for the RK-65 as 510 watts. This is less than
>the 635 watts for the 833a tube. I was guessing at the 833a tube output but
>incorrectly used the 811 output which is about 200 watts. It is obvious I am
>not a tube expert. I am sorry if this has caused any inconvenience.
>
>John Couture
>
>-----
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: Tesla list [mailto:tesla-at-pupman-dot-com]
>Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2001 9:51 AM
>To: tesla-at-pupman-dot-com
>Subject: Re: 833's
>
>
>Original poster: "David Speck by way of Terry Fritz
><twfTesla-at-qwest-dot-net>"
><dspeck-at-relex-dot-com>
>
>John,
>I checked the specs for the RK 65 tubes, vs. the 833a's
>
>Parameter 833A RK-65
>Plate current, Class C 400 mA 200 mA
>Power output, watts 635 watts 380 watts
>Plate voltage 2500 2500
>
>Unless I'm reading the data wrong, it seems that an 833a is worth about two
>RK-65s. Any comments?
>Dave
>
>Tesla list wrote:
> >
> > Original poster: "John H. Couture by way of Terry Fritz
><twfTesla-at-qwest-dot-net>"
> > <couturejh-at-mgte-dot-com>
> >
> > Ben -
> >
> > Click on www.mgte-dot-com
> >
> > Click on "TESLA"
> >
> > The Raytheon RK 65 tubes shown here have twice the capacity of the 833's
>and
> > they are brand new for only \$55 plus shipping. You do not need a socket

> > because only 2 of the socket prongs are used (for the filament). The grid
> > connections are on the sides of the tube. The plate connection on the top.
> > Click on "Raytheon" for a photo. There is also plenty of test equipment
> > available at this web site.
> >
> > You can find my Tesla books and the on-line JHCTES Ver 3.3 computer
> program
> > by clicking on the JHCTES box.
> >
> > John Couture

- Roy Morgan, K1LKY since 1959 - Keep 'em Glowing!
7130 Panorama Drive, Derwood MD 20855
Home: 301-330-8828 Work: Voice: 301-975-3254, Fax: 301-948-6213
roy.morgan@nist.gov --

Message-ID: <037501c3c02c\$0a90ed70\$fd0212ac@3rdtech.com>
From: "Nick England" <nick@3rdtech.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: 1964-66 callbook pages
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 16:16:28 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Anyone willing to copy or scan a couple of pages of their 1964, 1965, or 1966
US Callbook, please let me know. I'll be glad to send a few bucks for your
trouble. I'm trying to find a listing for a buddy who has forgotten his old
call.

thanks, 73, & Have Fun
Nick KD4CPL
(WA4SXT at the time)

From: WA5CAB@cs.com
Message-ID: <162.297f80aa.2d0a4178@cs.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 16:54:00 EST
Subject: FS: Millen 41305 Ceramic Jack Bars
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="part1_162.297f80aa.2d0a4178_boundary"

--part1_162.297f80aa.2d0a4178_boundary

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Groups,

James Millen 41305 Ceramic Jack Bars, NOSB. Have two. \$20.00 each or best offer within a few days, plus shipping. Discovered while ID'ing the contents of a box of Millen GDM coils that I swapped an R-388 for (along with the 90651-A) probably a decade or more ago.

73

Robert Downs - Houston
<<http://www.wa5cab.com>> (Web Store)
<wa5cab@cs.com> (Primary email)
<wa5cab@houston.rr.com> (Backup email)

--part1_162.297f80aa.2d0a4178_boundary
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

--part1_162.297f80aa.2d0a4178_boundary--

From: WA5CAB@cs.com
Message-ID: <199.23b95814.2d0a42b7@cs.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 16:59:19 EST
Subject: Wanted: Millen 90651 TM
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="part1_199.23b95814.2d0a42b7_boundary"

--part1_199.23b95814.2d0a42b7_boundary
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Groups,

Anyone have TM 11-6625-1593-15 on the Millen 90651 Grid-Dip Meter? Tried LOGSA but came up blank.

73

Robert Downs - Houston

<<http://www.wa5cab.com>> (Web Store)

<wa5cab@cs.com> (Primary email)

<wa5cab@houston.rr.com> (Backup email)

--part1_199.23b95814.2d0a42b7_boundary

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

--part1_199.23b95814.2d0a42b7_boundary--

From: WA5CAB@cs.com

Message-ID: <14.1e627d13.2d0a78af@cs.com>

Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 20:49:35 EST

Subject: Re: Wanted: Millen 90651 TM

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="part1_14.1e627d13.2d0a78af_boundary"

--part1_14.1e627d13.2d0a78af_boundary

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Groups,

I've had several people offer me either copies or originals of the civilian Millen manual on the 90651, but I have an original of it already (got it ummh... years ago with the meter).

I appreciate the helpfulness (quite typical of these two groups of course). But the manual I'm looking for is only the military TM 11.

73

Robert Downs - Houston

<<http://www.wa5cab.com>> (Web Store)

<wa5cab@cs.com> (Primary email)

<wa5cab@houston.rr.com> (Backup email)

--part1_14.1e627d13.2d0a78af_boundary

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

--part1_14.1e627d13.2d0a78af_boundary--

From: "JAMES T HANLON" <knjhanlon@msn.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Hartley Fun

Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 19:33:46 -0700

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0006_01C3C01D.B1A45F70"

Message-ID: <BAY4-DAV75hztiEUF1100016cd3@hotmail.com>

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0006_01C3C01D.B1A45F70

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

All,

In the last AWA Bruce Kelley Memorial 1929 QSO Party, I made only 2 =
QSO's. It was mostly my fault since I operated only the first weekend =
and my wife decided that we were going out on Saturday night. I made =
one QSO before our date and one after we came home. Those were the only =
two other guys I heard working the contest, and they were both relative =
locals in California - I'm in New Mexico. So I decided to see if my =
Hartley would possibly work on 40 and 20 meters, with the thought that =
if it did I might entice the AWA folks to set aside an hour or so for =

work on those bands as well as their customary 80 meter work. I wound = coils for those bands per my 1935 ARRL Handbook and tried it out. On = 40, with a genuine Radiotron UX-210 in the socket running about 10 watts = input, I was getting an indication of about 2 watts out on my Swan = WM-1500 and I got a 579 from W0VAD in Chardon, Nebraska at 5:17 in the = afternoon, around sundown here. The next day on 20 I was seeing about 1 = watt out more or less and I got a 589 from AE6DH in McArthur, California = at 4:50 pm. My signal was reasonable on both bands, no drift, chirp or = hum to speak of, thanks largely to the Lambda electronically regulated = power supply I use with this little rig. It did sound a little "old = fashioned" on 20, maybe a T8 to be honest and with a little tendency to = "ring" because of the vibration due to the keying. But what the heck, = it worked and I was amazed and had a fantastic time actually putting it = on the air and talking to people with it.

I just had to tell this to somebody, and I figured you folks would = understand. Thanks for the bandwidth.

Jim, W8KGI

-----=_NextPart_000_0006_01C3C01D.B1A45F70

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

-----=_NextPart_000_0006_01C3C01D.B1A45F70--

Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 21:35:05 -0800 (PST)

From: John Kolb <jlkolb@cts.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

cc: milsurplus@mailman.qth.net, <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Re: [Milsurplus] Re: Wanted: Millen 90651 TM

Message-ID: <Pine.BSF.4.44.0312112133510.22982-100000@king.cts.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Thu, 11 Dec 2003 WA5CAB@cs.com wrote:

> Groups,

>

> I've had several people offer me either copies or originals of the civilian
> Millen manual on the 90651, but I have an original of it already (got it
> ummh... years ago with the meter).

Well I picked up a 90651 grid dip meter over the weekend
and could use a manual copy from someone - usual reasonable
copy and mailing costs gladly repaid.

John
<<http://members.cts.com/king/j/jlkolb>>

Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 11:14:35 -0500 (EST)
From: stuck in 50s <polepeeg@aaa4rm.ba-watch.org>
Message-Id: <200312121614.hBCGEZA07892@barnjob.ba-watch.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Hartley Fun

Jim I only operated 2nd Sat. nite late & Sunday early AM & late afternoon.
Sunday hours were 'cause local 25kw BC stn. on 1190 & 3rd harmonic sits
right @ 3570 during full-power hours.

Ten 80m contacts & toughies too. Most activity in NE area & tuff to
bring it in thru SE qrn. But possible & a few 4-land locals made things
rag-chewish.

& if qrn wasn't enuf, my '33 FBX is one noisy beastie w/o any antenna.

I run 600V UNregulated to 2 UX210s & know they can go 'way beyond 10W or
even 20 out, not in. In fact, it could be called a Cheatin' Hartley
under some circumstances

I know I've used this here before, sri folx.

On Hartley chirp. My tx can be loaded so there's little to none perceivable.
I do use a Hi-C tank ckt. but with a Bud 20BCL tank (use antenna tuna for +/-
10kc 'detailing'). Little Bud looks entirely outa place but dang thing
does much chirp-better than tank wound outa copper fuel line. And I
believe I have a reason. That's that the tank's circulating current L-change
to heat expansion offsets inside-tube C-increase from plate-dissipation heat
if input/load just-right.

Everybody's gotta believe something.

Love that AWA event big time! And their OTB quarterly is downright scholarly.

Marty

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Tom

A motor operated key should not be used on a spark gap transmitter, should have have made us aware of his operating schedule and the three phases(sorry, frequencies) that he had in mind.

Bad form. :-)

Andre

----- Original Message -----

From: Tom Smith <tsmith@hal-pc.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 11:09 PM
Subject: Fw: Substation fireworks

> Subject: Fwd: Substation fireworks
>
>
> Opening what appears to be about a 345kV or maybe 500kV line with a
> motor operated disconnect under load. Courtesy of SCE (Southern Cal Ed.)
>
> (See attached file: LugoSWR.mpg)
>
>
>

> * * * * *
> * ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *
> * This post contains a forbidden message format *
> * (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
> * Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT *
> * If your postings display this message your mail program *
> * is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
> * * * * *
>

From: "Gary H. Harmon, Jr." <gharmon@idworld.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Need SB-220 Outer Case
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 06:35:17 -0600
Message-ID: <LKBBJFJHLNHAIBLNCHMEAEPPOAB.gharmon@idworld.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A good HAM friend in Hawaii needs a SB-220 type outer case in original condition. If you have one to sell or trade please let me know and I'll plug you up with the Hawaii connection. May also need tubes and other parts to restore a parts unit.

Best 73, gary

=====//=====
Gary H. Harmon, Jr. - K5JWK
6302 Robin Forest
San Antonio, TX 78239-3218
(210) 657-1549 home
(210) 884-6926 cell
(210) 203-7000 pager
gharmon@idworld.net

Message-ID: <00aa01c3c1a0\$400abec0\$6601a8c0@pavillion>
From: "Robert Nickels" <w9ran@oneradio.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Stancor help
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 11:40:12 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

If anyone has old Stancor tranny catalog, I'd like to know what a P-3163 is. It's definitely NOT the isolation xfmr it was sold to me as, but I can't find any reference to it in anything I have or can find online.

Thanks and 73,
Bob W9RAN

Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 10:59:56 -0800

From: Buzz <buzz@softcom.net>
Subject: Re: Stancor help
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-id: <59omtv0s7h9jrvj3ijgij5n5t4qedn8pmp@4ax.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Bob,
FWIW, my Stancor catalog dated 1969-7,0 does not list any P-31xx numbers.
Regards,
Buzz

On Sat, 13 Dec 2003 11:40:12 -0600, you wrote:
>If anyone has old Stancor tranny catalog, I'd like to know what a P-3163 is.
>It's definitely NOT the isolation xfmr it was sold to me as, but I can't
>find any reference to it in anything I have or can find online.
>
>Thanks and 73,
>Bob W9RAN
>

Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 14:30:50 -0600 (CST)
From: Bob Roehrig <broehrig@aurora.edu>
cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Stancor help
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.4.58.0312131429550.1637@mail.aurora.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

> On Sat, 13 Dec 2003 11:40:12 -0600, you wrote:
> >If anyone has old Stancor tranny catalog, I'd like to know what a P-3163 is.
> >It's definitely NOT the isolation xfmr it was sold to me as, but I can't
> >find any reference to it in anything I have or can find online.

Don't find it in my 1963 catalog either.

Bob Roehrig
Aurora University Telecom/IS dept.
broehrig@aurora.edu 73 de K9EUI

630-844-4898

fax 630-844-4222

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3580
